



Lectures on Urban Modelling January 2017

Integrated Urban Models

Michael Batty

m.batty@ucl.ac.uk @jmichaelbatty

19 January, 2017

http://www.spatialcomplexity.info/

Outline

- Modular Modelling: Coupled Spatial Interaction
- A Simple Example of Modularity: Lowry's Model
- DRAM-EMPAL Style Models
- Demand and Supply: Market Clearing
- Input-Output: The Echenique Models
- Integrated Large-Scale Model Structures
- Sketch for an Integrated Model
- Demonstrating an Aggregate Large Scale Model
- Very Rapid Prototyping of Aggregate Models

Modular Modelling: Coupled Spatial Interaction

So far we have just singled out a module for one kind of interaction – based on a variant of the gravity model - consider stringing these together as more than one kind of spatial interaction: Model 1 → Model 2 → Model 3 →
Classically we might model flows from home to work and home to shop but there are many more and in this sense, we can use these as building blocks for wider models. This is for next time too

What we will now do is illustrate how we might build such a structure taking a journey to work model from Employment to Population and then to Shopping which we structure as --

First we have the journey from work to home model as

 E_i

$$T_{ij} = E_i \frac{F_j \exp(-\lambda c_{ij})}{\sum_j F_j \exp(-\lambda c_{ij})} , \quad \sum_j T_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{j} P_j = \alpha \sum_i T_{ij}$$

And then the demand from home to shop

$$S_{jm} = P_j \frac{W_m \exp(-\beta c_{jm})}{\sum_m W_m \exp(-\beta c_{jm})} , \quad \sum_m S_{jm} = P_j$$

$$S_m = \sum_j S_{jm}$$

 $E_m = f(S_m)$

And there is a potential link back to employment from the retail

sector

A Simple Example of Modularity: Lowry's Model

Lowry's (1964) model of Pittsburgh was a model of this nature but it also incorporated in it – or rather its derivatives did more formally – a generative sequence of starting with only a portion of employment – basic – and then generating the non-basic that came from this. This non-basic set up demand for more non-basic and so on until all the non-basic employment was generated, and this sequence followed the classic multiplier effect that is central to input-output models.

A block diagram of the model follows







DRAM-EMPAL Style Models

Essentially what we have here is the notion of simultaneous dependence – i.e. one activity generates another but that other activity generates the first one – what came first – the chicken or the egg?

Stephen Putman developed an integrated model to predict residential location DRAM and another to predict employment location EMPAL. In essence different models are used to do each – the employment model tends to be based on very different factors – it is a regression like model of key location factors not a flow model Now some models take the transport component out and use

accessibility, then interfacing with a transport model that is built externally

Demand and Supply: Market Clearing

So far most of these models have been articulated from the demand side – they are models of travel demand and locational demand – they say nothing about supply although we did introduce the notion that in simulating trips and assigning these to the network, we need to invoke supply.

When demand and supply are in balance, then the usual signal of this is the price that is charged. In one sense the DRAM EMPAL model configures residential location as demand and employment location as supply but most models tend to treat supply as being relatively fixed, given, nonmodellable However several models that couple more than one activity together treat supply as being balanced with demand, often starting with demand, seeing if demand is met, if not changing the basis of demand and so on until equilibrium is ascertained. Sometimes prices determines the signal of this balance. If demand is too high, price rises and demand falls until supply is met and vice versa. Often this is done simply to ensure demand is not greater than supply Most urban models do not attempt to model supply for supply side modelling is much harder and less subject to generalisable behaviour

A strategy for ensuring balance is as follows for a model with two sectors – like the one we illustrated earlier In the following slide, we have two submodels – first residential location and second retail location

- In each submodel, we first have interaction (trip distribution) and then location.
- The first loops in terms of interaction are for capacity constraints on supply, the second are for capacity constraints on location
- The second set of red loops involve reiterating the interaction and location so that we can get balance within the entire submodel
- The thick black loop in the middle couples the residential to the retail model, the thick black loop around the two models is used if retail predictions are to influence employment



The decision to nest what loop inside what other loop is a big issue that makes these models non-unique

If the supply side is modelled separately then the way this is incorporated further complicates the sequence of model operations.

In the large scale integrated models that we will deal with next, these are crucial issues

There is one further structural issue we will deal and this involves extending the models sectorally and the Echenique inputoutput formulation is a good example of this extension

Input-Output: The Echenique Models

So far we have only developed couplings between models that are added together in ordered sequences that string sectors together apart from reference last time to the Lowry model which organised this sequence around the basic-non-basic employment multiplier.

We can extend this to a series of linked causal multipliers between different sectors by extending this chain to an input-output model framework. In essence we define many different sectors involving households, labour, industries, services and so on and build the model so that there are consistent economic relations between each Echenique's MEPLAN models are structured in this fashion. So too is the TRANUS model. We can introduce these as follows.

Essentially the system is divided into production and consumption based on activities m that are produced in zone I, X_i^m, and consumed as activities n in zone j, Y_jⁿ These are organised as in an input output table but noting that they are spatially specific

$$X_{i}^{m} = \sum_{j} \sum_{n} T_{ij}^{mn}$$
$$Y_{j}^{n} = \sum_{i} \sum_{m} T_{ij}^{mn}$$

Here is the typical I-O table



Figure 2. Transaction matrix T.

- Section A of the matrix T^{nun} represents the transactions between factors. This area is normally included in standard input–output models (Leontief, 1951). It represents the sales from sector m to sector n.
- Section B of the matrix T^{mn} represents the transactions between factor m and the household group n, in other words the consumption by the households of products or services m.
- Section C of the matrix Tⁿⁿ represents the transactions between factors m to be exported to outside the area in consideration. Normally, both sections B and C are considered the final demand in standard input-output models that also includes investments and government consumption. It is described as the exogenous sector, that is to say, it is determined outside the model.
- Section D represents the sale of labor or other income received by socioeconomic groups m from the factor n (e.g. dividends).
- Section E represents the sale of labor from socio-economic groups m to households in socio-economic groups n (e.g. domestic labor).
- Section F represents the sale of labor or other income received from the exogenous factor, such as pensions and other payments from government, etc.
- Section G represents the imports from outside the area and payments to the exogenous factor such as taxes to the government. In this sector, rental of property or land is sometimes included.
- Section H represents the payments by the households factor such as taxes, rental, etc.
- Section I represents payments by the exogenous factor to itself, such as imports for the government or for investments.

The flows are based on spatial interaction models of the form

$$T_{ij}^{mn} = Y_j^n \frac{\exp(-\beta^m c_{ij}^m)}{\sum_i \exp(-\beta^m c_{ij}^m)}$$

Where the generalised interaction costs also include other costs such as prices of good m at I

 $c_{ij}^m = p_i^m + t_{ij}^m + w_{ij}^m$

The order in which these equations are solved and linked

together is given in the following flow chart Note that prices are determined from spatial interactions as

$$p_i^m = \frac{1}{\beta} \log \sum_i \exp(-\beta^m c_{ij}^m)$$

And then linked back to the prices of goods produced as

$$p_j^n = \sum_m a^{mn} p_j^m$$

$$a^{mn} = \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} T_{ij}^{mn}}{\sum_{i} Y_{j}^{n}}$$

The precise details of how the model works are extremely hard to figure out from the papers but the following flow chart goes some way to showing how the various elements are configured.

This is a general point. In models that are coupled in this fashion – integrated, then it is often hard to figure out the precise ordering or the structure. I am just reading a PhD on the TRANUS model and this is a very complicated feature – what is solved first – the order.



Integrated Large-Scale Model Structures

I will simply point you in the right directions here – the Handbook I referred you to in the last lecture contains several very good papers on these issues and I will briefly present some notes from Miller's article

Google books handbook of transport geography and Search Books									
Handbook of transport geography and spatial systems, Volume 5 By David A. Hensher									
合会会会 2 Daviowa	ର୍ କ୍		23	Contents -	Page 147 📕 🕨				
Treese Gran									
About this book				Chu	pter 10				
Search in this book Go				INTEGRATED LAND USE/TRANSPORT MODEL					
Add to My Library v				K.	EQUIREMENTS				
Get this book				ER	IC J. MILLER				
Emerald Group Publishing				Un	versity of Toronto				
<u>Amazon.com</u> - \$215.00									

Here is a summary from his article of the key structure of such models and also their requirements



		Table	1. General facts		
Software	Developer	Operational history	Platform	Commercial availability	Support
ITLUP	S. H. Putman	Developed over the last 25 years; operationally applied in many US cities plus selected overseas (40 plus calibrations)	Originated in FORTRAN for mainframe/work-station. PC version (METROPILUS) in ArcView shell, which provides linkage to ArcView GIS (Windows compatible)	Yes	Consulting firm, with commercial documentation and technical support (user's manual, newsletter, user group)
MEPLAN	M. Echenique	Much shared history over 25-year development. Operational applications throughout the world, including the	MEPLAN originated in FORTRAN for mainframe; now PC based	Yes	Consulting firm, with commercial documentation and technical support (user's manual, newsletter)
FRANUS	T. de la Barra	USA (Sacramento for both; Washington State for MEPLAN; Oregon State and Baltimore for TRANUS)	TRANUS developed directly for PC (Windows orientation)	Yes	Consulting firm, with commercial documentation and technical support (user's manual)
MUSSA	F. Martinez	Operational in Santiago, Chile. Developed over last 8–10 years	PC based; runs under Windows. Interfaces with a relational database management system (Access). GUI and GIS	Yes	University-based research team in collaboration with the Government of Chile
NYMTC-LUM	A. Anas	Currently being implemented in New York City. Based upon previous models (CATLAS, CPHMM, NYSIM) developed in Chicago and New York over the last 20 years	PC or workstation. FORTRAN program	Yes	Alex Anas & Associates (a small firm). Limited documentation
UrbanSim	P. Waddell	Currently being implemented in Honolulu, Eugene/Springfield and Salt Lake City. Historical validation performed in Oregon	Platform independent, written in Java. Viewer currently implemented in MapObjects GIS on Windows 95/NT	Yes; public domain via website (www.urba nsim.org)	University of Washington. Limited documentation currently. Reference manual, user guide, software available at website (www.urbansim.org)

To cite this Article Hunt, J. D. , Kriger, D. S. andMiller, E. J.(2005) 'Current operational urban land-use-transport modelling frameworks: A review', Transport Reviews, 25: 3, 329 – 376

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/0144164052000336470

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0144164052000336470

Sketch for an Integrated Model

I am very quickly going to sketch an integrated model which builds on the ideas so far – I will not disaggregate the model into m employment types and n housing types but we can assume that this is a complicating feature that simply makes the presentation trickier – so we will simply deal with the aggregate version

The model has three sectors – employment, retailing and residential location with a link from retailing into part employment. Three different models are built for each sector – spatial interaction for residential and retailing and a linear model of land development for employment



We begin with the residential, then retail sector, then trips capacities, and finally employment



















We begin with the residential, then retail sector, then trips capacities, and finally

Demonstrating an Aggregate Large Scale Model

We have broadened our residential location model for London to Greater London and the outer metropolitan area and we will demonstrate this in a moment

Our current model is more disaggregate, more extensive and is really a suite of model types, it has an explicit money sector as house prices and wages are quite important We do not attempt to model markets – this is quite impossible in London as the market hardly follows any known theory We simply use transport costs, wages and prices to determine residential location Let me give you a quick summary of its structure:



Let me give you a quick summary of its structure:



Other flows, than people or money, materials and information?

To illustrate very briefly the sort of data that we have in the money sector that is driving this variant of the model and also the residential location equations



And then we put wages, prices and transport costs together in the interaction model as follows

with travel as a difference or variance σ^2 between these two sets of costs. Then, the system must satisfy the constraint

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} T_{ij} [(h_i + t_i) - (c_{ij} + \rho_j)]^2 = \sigma^2 \qquad (11)$$

The model that is generated from this constraint and which is the alternative residential location model in the current model variant is

$$T_{ij} = E_i \frac{A_j \exp(-\lambda [(h_i + t_i) - (c_{ij} + \rho_j)]^2}{\sum_j A_j \exp(-\lambda [(h_i + t_i) - (c_{ij} + \rho_j)]^2} , \qquad (12)$$

which is subject to the usual origin constraint, generating population from equation (2) with (12) replacing equation (1).

EPA Environment and Planning

Search Current issue Forthcoming All volumes EPA homepage EP homepage Pion homepage

2013 volume 45(3) pages 532 - 552

Cite as:

Batty M, 2013, "Visually-Driven Urban Simulation: exploring fast and slow change in residential location" Environment and Planning A 45(3) 532 – 552 Download citation data in RIS format

Visually-Driven Urban Simulation: exploring fast and slow change in residential location Michael Batty





ARCADIA: A Fast, Aggregate, Visually Efficient Three Sector Land Use Transportation Model

Lectures on Urban Modelling

>



I will run the model as it works very quickly on the desktop



Applications

Very Rapid Prototyping of Aggregate Models A New Retail Centre in Dubai



Where did we get the data – in a data poor environment?





Recycle Br



Dubni Model

CASA and the Future Cities Catapult Projects

Predicting Urban Futures for Dubai

Simulating Land Use, Population, Employment, Retailing, and Transportation

Dubai Population Estimate 2012 (Dubai Statistics Center)



Here we simulate the impact of large changes in urban structure on the population and employment distributions in 220 communities which define the Emirate of Dubai. The population and employment which are linked together through the transportation system and flows of trips. The model we use is heavily data driven as the data mirrors how people locate and interact in the city.

This is a simple demonstration to indicate the features of such a simulation model. If we were building this model for operational use in planning Dubai, we would have many different sectors describing different types of population. distinguishing particularly between guest workers and the local population, and between retailing, construction, financial services and related industrial activities. We would also define transport by different modes.

























Applications

A New Retail Centre in Dubai







Lectures on Urban Modelling January 2017

Thanks – The Last Lecture, Next Monday, same time



<u>m.batty@ucl.ac.uk</u> @jmichaelbatty

19 January, 2017

http://www.spatialcomplexity.info/

Reading about integrated models is more tricky as these models are convoluted – involved – that clear statements are hard to find. Two papers are relevant.



Iacono, M., Levinson, D., and El-Geneidy, A. (2008) Models of Transportation and Land Use Change: A Guide to the Territory, **Journal of Planning Literature**, **22**, 323-340, and



Hunt, J. D., Kriger, D. S. and Miller, E. J.(2005) Current Operational Urban Land-Use-Transport Modelling Frameworks: A Review, **Transport Reviews**, **25**, 329 — 376

There is some good reading of all this material in Google Books in Button, K. J., Haynes, K. E., Stopher, P., and Hensher, D. A. (Editors) (2004) **Handbook of Transport Geography and Spatial Systems**, Volume 5 (Handbooks in Transport), Elsevier Science, New York

