

# Smart Cities

SESSION II: Lecture 1: Visualisation: Wired and  
Computable Cities: Information Infrastructure and  
the Theory of the Smart City

**Michael Batty**

[m.batty@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:m.batty@ucl.ac.uk)



@jmmichaelbatty

<http://www.spatialcomplexity.info/>

<http://www.casa.ucl.ac.uk/>


1. Two More Keys to the Smart City:
  - a. How We Access Computers: Graphical User Interfaces GUIs
  - b. The Convergence of Technologies: IT → ICT
2. Where the Wires Are, Telecoms, Broadband, G3, G4
3. Early Smart Cities: Networking: Wiring Industry, Technopoles, Science Hubs and Parks
4. Singapore: The Intelligent Island; and Malaysia: The Multimedia Super Corridor
5. The Internet: Arpanet to The Web: The Information Divide
7. The Impact of Technology on Distance and Time: Another Key to the Wired World and the Smart City
8. A Theory of the Smart City

## Two More Keys to the Smart City: How We Access Computers: ***The Graphical User Interface GUI***


Graphics began almost as soon as computers were invented between 1940 and 1950; essentially to connect up components, oscilloscopes were used to interrogate the worked of the machine as these were based on probing using an electron beam, they presented an early form of graphics

Somewhat ironically for our field, the person who wrote the book ***Urban Dynamics*** in 1969, Jay Forrester, led the Whirlwind computer project at MIT after the war – a defence related project to do with missiles – and in 1950 he went on the Ed Morrow show, ***See it Now*** and demonstrated the first computer graphic – a bouncing ball on an oscilloscope. There are other stories for example in Wilkes lab in Cambridge UK.

I am now able to show you the clip from the Ed Morrow show. When I last gave this talk it was not online but it is from the MIT video collection so here it is. Here is the oscilloscope on which it was played too.



I also want to show you Whirlwind at MIT and also a clip from Ed Morrow's iconic show from the early 1950s about networks



MIT Whirlwind

[close](#)

**1951**

- MIT's Whirlwind debuted on Edward R. Murrow's "See It Now" television series. Project director Jay Forrester described the computer as a "reliable operating system," running 35 hours a week at 90-percent utility using an electrostatic tube memory.

Start of 1945  
project:  
Completed: 1951

**You Tube**  
Broadcast Yourself™



But lest we think that it was America that produced graphics then is worth showing a Russian clip of how they programmed an animated cat ***Kittie*** in 1967 – using a symbol mapping kind of animation. The movie was produced by Nikolay Kostantinova

This kind of animation was also used in our own field to animate the ***Growth of East Lansing Michigan*** by Alan Schmidt at Harvard computer graphics lab in the same year – long before we began to directly associated screen memory with graphics

I will show you the two clips before we go and stalk about how graphics really was driven by miniaturisation on the one hand and the fact that many many more people had access to machines due to the PC revolution from 1980 on.

The earliest computer movie I found in



# Unfathomable Productions

I can't show you the movies here but you can get them on YouTube


And now I will try and show Alan Schmidt's movie of the growth of East Lansing from the mid-1850s to the late 1860's



Ok, graphics which did not get going until miniaturisation reached the point where we could produce cheap personal computers – the PC – depended intimately on Moore's Law

Before then graphics was done either by producing frames from a computer as hard copy **SYMAP** or but tracing out an image on a display tube by electron beam and then capturing the image with some sort of copier – often by taking a photograph. The great insight which came a little earlier in the 1970s was to associate the computer screen with memory and it was at Xerox Parc that the really path breaking work on interaction with computers was done. The story is well known that at Xerox Parc they intend most things that have become commonplace – the mouse, windows, ethernet, hypertext. The story was that Steve Jobs pinched all the stuff for the Apple Mac ...but PCs were stuck in the command line mode


But most PCs were truly stuck in the command line mode really until the mid 1990s with the development of Windows 3.1. This is the way we interacted which was painful – here is an example of an early command line driven system – an urban model for Melbourne which was accessed through a graphics terminal where command line and graphics were quite separate in terms of the memory – you can actually see this – 1982 -



If you go to [www.complexcity.info](http://www.complexcity.info), you can find this movie and others that show early computer graphics and land use models


In the 1980s, the development of the user interface was very fast and the Macintosh, a whole generation of workstations such as those from Sun and SG were introduced with graphical user interfaces but it wasn't really until Windows 95 that things really began to take off. It took a long time too to see the interface in the way I am showing it today on this powerpoint – and there are rapid developments all the time, of course now on phones and tablets and so on

However what was clear was that the web – the world wide web which was essentially a graphics interface to the internet – is the first browsers were essentially graphic. Of course there were access software before **Mosaic** which was the first effective interface like **Gopher** but the decision to make it graphic was key – I don't quite know why – not sure it was to do with Tim Berners Lee. More likely Larry Smarr at NCSA.



A Desktop PSS for Population Forecasting  
on a Mac circa 1993 ↑

A Web-based Feed of Real Time Traffic Data  
In San Diego circa 1995 →



If we look briefly now at the web and its graphics, then the essential distinction between web 1 and web 2 – web 1 is really passive – read, while web 2 is more interactive – read and write – to use TBL nomenclature

Web 3 is more like using a degree of intelligence in interacting with the web – it has not got a very clear definition but some say that it is the web with AI or rather the semantic web

Anyway the key issue is that the web is completely graphic – that is the predominant way of access and in essence the mouse and keyboard are also being displaced in hardware terms by touch screen

3D graphics, and animation are now taken for granted – massive developments but progress with good HCI is slow and problematic

There is now a key move from the desktop to the web – a kind of enfranchisement where it is essential to have graphics – another component of modern computing and this of course is central to the idea that new styles of computing will be participatory – as we see in information systems in the city – and this of course will be the essence of the smart city.

What is now happening is quite hard to comprehend. I think we are a bit close to it all - too close to it – a lot of these developments seem to be slowing down in terms of interfaces and this focus is now on very simple Apps on phones and these is likely to be some critical merger with TV. The thesis about productivity gains is an issue here and we will return to is later – the real gains are where we make new things possible but graphics is only making most things a bit better

## Our Second Key to the Smart City: ***The Convergence of Technologies: IT → ICT***

My second major point is by now quite obvious to us all but it was barely anticipated –obvious in hindsight of course – but it required miniaturisation and new materials based on the notion that computers would converge with other technologies, with people and with their activities.

The first convergence is linkage of computers and communications and there are two modes: *the first mode*: software and data are linked across the desktop with the user providing the momentum for this; *the second mode* is software and data linked across the net and this is part and parcel of the client-server architecture that now dominates.

This is a shift from moving people to information or to people with information versus moving information to people – face to face contacts - moving is more than just information, it involve people and activities – the electronic cottage is essentially a myth; it never took account of networking and it didn't anticipate the power of wireless, thought to be dead technology in general until its resurrection for connectivity

- second convergence is TV, video, phones, and of course computers where networking is assumed
- third convergence – computers in mechanical devices which is happening extensively at a low level
- fourth convergence – computers inside people. – implications for space and interaction are profound.

We will come back to all this at the end of the talk today when we talk about how ICT is affecting distance and time as this is key to the smart city.

But we should also flag the fact that ICT and natural systems, particularly biological systems are converging. This is a very controversial area – it is no accident that just as Shannon was doing his famous work on coding and people like von Neumann were building the first computers, the other essential insight into coding was developed: DNA and the genetic code which was discovered by Watson and Crick in 1953. I think there will be some profound developments in this domain in the near future – there already are and this may be where the momentum will drift.

## Where the Wires Are, Telecoms, Broadband, G3, G4

Ok we have done a lot on the essential background but we need to say something very quickly about where all this hardware is embedded and to do this I will give you a quick summary.

The location of the hardware, the wires and the wireless are also key to delivering information but it is hard to figure all this out because everything is so bottom up

Essentially most of our connectivity still is sitting in front of a computer and interacting directly but as I type this slide, I keep going back to the web – because I am connected – wirelessly in the office in COORS or in the hotel in downtown Tempe, and I search for information on the web. So basically although probably 70% of my interaction now is me and the computer, some 30% is me, the computer & the net.

This is important as it is growing fast and the ratio of desktop to net will fall. When I first wrote this slide it was 80/20, now I reckon 70/30, and probably next year 60/40.

Ok let me show you three things – where the wires are – some of them – where the wireless is – some of it and then something about how data is transmitted and where the data centres are.

First let me look at the big wires between continents like the north Atlantic cable. There is a very nice interactive map of this and let us see if we can pull it <http://www.cablemap.info/>


This was first done for the electric telegraph – read Tom Standage's book – but these cables are being put in everywhere. I need to tell you my experience outside my flat in central London where the street is dug up at least 15 times

a year

Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis



Wireless requires repeaters – wireless towers to capture the data and then transmit it on – remarkable really radio waves compared to fibre optics – generally wired is much faster still and can also take massive amounts of data in the cables – under sea cables back to the idea of the telegraph and telephone <http://atlantic-cable.com/Maps/index.htm>



The way data is communicated is that it is broken up into packets and packets are switched and make use of redundancy of the network – assembled at the other end – I think this is how it works – it always seems to work – indeed one of the major issues about electricity is that it is so robust but I expect this must be the case if it is everywhere

The last point about the wires involve data centres – You have probably heard about the big Google data centre in Oregon where they are taking over a whole town (in terms of jobs that is I guess) – there are many of these big server factories and farms and big switches for the internet –

Let me show a couple of pictures and then also point you to a very interesting Google web site that tells you the story and we will load it and see

# Telehouse west in London.....in Amsterdam



<http://www.google.com/about/datacenters/gallery/#/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5Be2YnlRIg8>

## Early Smart Cities: Networking: Wiring Industry, Technopoles, Science Hubs and Parks

Let me say something very briefly about how people thought of smart cities as soon as it became possible to develop wide area networks in the mid to late 1980s. Combined with a lot of hype about fibre optics – but remember the internet was not yet invented – as such – then the idea was to network places and putting in the fibre was the key issue. It still is and in fact the biggest concentrations of wired stuff and probably wireless is in big cities

Not particularly in high tech concentrations where hardware and software is being developed but in places where it is being used – financial centres. Here is a map of the UK in terms of internet hubs in the late 1990s




Internet Hubs:  
England circa 1998




The NY stock exchange above and the London stock exchange below. Note how traders are no gone as a physical force – it is all electronic trading



I can't find a good map of computer IT/ICT concentration per se  
but these are Wiki points – there are many maps like this that  
tend to show use rather than hardware



# Singapore: The Intelligent Island; Malaysia: The Multimedia Super Corridor, Kawasaki Japan 1989



# The Internet: Arpanet to The Web



1972




Country Code: from mask

DE  
IT  
JP  
Other  
SE  
UK  
US

1998






# A TUBE MAP OF THE WORLD WIDE WEB


Updated Jul 26 2007 - 11:58pm · Posted Jul 25 2007 - 3:00pm by [GeekSugar](#) · 24 comments

[Internet Subway · Map](#)

Our Dear Mr. Internet letters have finally been answered! Thanks to the creative peeps over at [Information Architects](#), there is now a web trend map that offers a visual of the ginormous world that is the internet (or internets as I like to call it). The masterpiece uses a subway map template to pinpoint the 200 most successful websites on the web, ordered by category, proximity, success, popularity and perspective... spot geeksugar anywhere? Download it as your




## Financial Concentrations : High Frequency Trading



# The Information Divide

I need to flag the fact that all these things are part of the smart city and the fact that smart cities are global in this sense. In some respects we should have maps of the use not the actual ownership and this is a key point. We will not say any more other than flag the divide as a recurring issue



# The Impact of Technology on Distance and Time: Another Key to the Wired World and the Smart City

Ok – a long talk – but let me focus on my last theme because as geographic scientists and urban researchers we are clearly interested in space.

Clearly each wave of technology through the industrial revolutions has meant change in the way we interact – in particular the distances over which we can interact and also the speed of that interaction

First came the internal combustion engine and steam and this led to railways and then to automobile – so average distance that some one could travel increased dramatically from say 5 or 6 miles to 10s of miles, and the time taken decreased

Then came electricity and the telegraph and the phone with very long distances being possible in terms of communication, not physical travel so this was an information medium

The computer and ICT has accelerated all this and in fact it has led to almost continual communication

Air travel has led to global movement but in general we are still restricted by how long it takes to drive – however there are strange and insidious things going on with travel. It is hardly the death of distance but there are strange transformation going on with respect to locational decision-making and it is this that we need to get a handle in in terms of the smart city.

Productivity gains have slowed in fact due to the fact that most of what is now happening is improvement not massive disruptive change – we will continue to speculate here....

There are many references but look at

<http://www.spatialcomplexity.info/technicity> and read, peruse

Andrew Blum (2012) **Tubes: A Journey to the Center of the Internet**, Ecco, New York.

George Dyson (2012) **Turing's Cathedral: The Origins of the Digital Universe**, Vintage, New York.

Dava Sobel (1997) **Longitude: The True Story of a Lone Genius Who Solved the Greatest Scientific Problem of His Time**, Walker and Company, reprint, New York

Tom Standage (2007) **The Victorian Internet: The Remarkable Story of the Telegraph and the Nineteenth Century's On-line Pioneers**, Walker and Company, reprint, New York.

# A Theory of the Smart City

Let me take one step back but at the same time propel us forward.

Having developed a lot of background, we are now ready to think about smart cities and what the real focus is now. Essentially computers and their communications can be applied to any and every aspect of the city but the idea of the smart city is narrower than the application of all digital computation to cities. In fact here we will take a broad view beginning by making a key separation between using ICT in cities for

- a) Routine purposes – how to operate the city, manage it, and design the city in the very short term, and
- b) Strategic purposes - how we understand and plan for the city in the much longer term

So *temporal* rather than *spatial* is a key organising distinction.


In fact there is a tendency for our methods and models and data to be clustered around the short term, fine spatial scale and the longer term, coarser spatial scale. So for example, the operation of routine movements and communications in the short term – second by second, minute by minute and so on – tends to be at the more individual level while our focus on the long term tends to be related to aggregates of such movements which pertain to bigger scale times and locations.

So we have a class of models and methods that pertain to short term fine scale and a different class that pertain to long term coarse scale. Important to note that both classes of models have existed for a long while but the more routine are being reinvigorated through modern ICT

So urban operations research models for emergency services, police, fire, crime and so on are examples of the more routine while transportation planning models, land use and housing market models are examples of the longer term more strategic.

We are tending these days in the smart cities movement to call models and methods 'analytics' while the other feature is data – and in this context 'big' and 'open' data have become significant. Essentially this is because computers are being embedded into the physical fabric of the environment – not only are we using models to manage and plan and improve the environment, computers are being used to control it and it is these that are generating streams of real time data – this is big data where time is the key dimension.

In this sense we might portray our focus on smart cities as follows



Now we can position our focus on the smart city across this set of domains. But before we do so and move to thinking about communications, let us focus on different definitions of the term smart city.

Generally the big IT companies define smart cities as digital technologies meaning software services being embedded or used in cities – this covers all aspects of our figure but in fact it tends to be nearest the routine built environment end of the spectrum

In the history of smart cities, the notion of the **wired city** came first and these were close to the 1980s conceptions of cable and Telephone services in cities. Then this concept was broadened into the **intelligent city** and some times this was notated as the **information city**. Castells was key in this.

In parallel to this as cities were being modelled in terms of their physical form, the **virtual city** came on stream – with cities being pictured as virtual realities. These grew out of 3D mapping and CAD, and thence virtual environments became significant in which the user could interact with the virtual city itself.

These virtual environment were in distinction to other models of cities that were more abstract and in a sense still remain on the edge of these conceptions.

As we proceed we will fill in the history and the picture of the contemporary smart cities movement; and in the next talk, we will begin with thinking about cities in terms of communications.